

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method for determining whether a font file is corrupted, said method comprising the steps of:

receiving at a font server, information associated with a portion of a font file stored in a computer system;

comparing, at the font server, the information associated with the portion of the font file with information associated with a portion of an uncorrupted font file; and

in response to said step of comparing, determining, at the font server, whether the font file stored in the computer system is corrupted.

2. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein the information associated with the portion of the font file and the information associated with the portion of the uncorrupted font file is checksum data.

3. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of replacing at least a the portion of the font file with the portion of the uncorrupted font file.

4. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 3, wherein said step of replacing further includes the steps of:

transmitting the portion of the uncorrupted font file from the font server to the computer system; and

storing the portion of the uncorrupted font file in memory in the computer system.

5. (Canceled) The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of receiving at a font server, the information associated with the font file, wherein said font server performs said step of comparing and determining.

6. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of transmitting a result of said step of determining to the computer system.

7. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of transmitting the portion of the uncorrupted file to the computer system for replacing ~~at least a~~ the portion of the font file.

8. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 7, further comprising the step of charging to replace the ~~at least a~~ portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

9. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 5 1, where if the portion of the font file is corrupted, transmitting a request to the computer system asking whether ~~at least a~~ the portion of the font file should be replaced with the portion of the uncorrupted file.

10. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 9, further comprising the steps of:

receiving a request from the computer system for the portion of the uncorrupted font file to replace the ~~at least a~~ portion of the font file;

transmitting the uncorrupted file to the computer system; and

charging to replace the at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

11. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of charging an account associated with the computer system for performing said method.

12. (Canceled) The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of receiving at the computer system the information associated with the uncorrupted font file from a font server, wherein said steps of comparing and determining are performed in the computer system.

13. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of displaying a message indicating whether the font file is corrupted, responsive to said step of determining.

14. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of determining whether a preset corruption period has expired and initiating said step of comparing when said preset corruption period has expired.

15. (Original) The method according to claim 14, further comprising the step of resetting the corruption period in response to said step of initiating.

16. (Previously Presented) A method for determining whether a font file is corrupted, said method comprising the steps of:

comparing information associated with a font file stored in a computer system with information associated with an uncorrupted font file; and

in response to said step of comparing, determining whether the font file stored in the computer system is corrupted, and

charging an account associated with the computer system for performing said determination.

17. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 16, wherein the information associated with the font file and the information associated with the uncorrupted font file is checksum data.

18. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 16, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of replacing at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted font file.

19. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 18, wherein said step of replacing further includes the steps of:

transmitting the uncorrupted font file from a font server to the computer system; and
storing the uncorrupted font file in memory in the computer system.

20. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 16, further comprising the step of receiving at a font server, the information associated with the font file, wherein said font server performs said step of comparing and determining.

21. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 20, further comprising the step of transmitting a result of said step of determining to the computer system.

22. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 20, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of transmitting the uncorrupted file to the computer system for replacing at least a portion of the font file.

23. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 22, further comprising the step of charging to replace the at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

24. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 20, where if the font file is corrupted, transmitting a request to the computer system asking whether at least a portion of the font file should be replaced with the uncorrupted file.

25. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 24, further comprising the steps of:

receiving a request from the computer system for the uncorrupted font file to replace the at least a portion of the font file;

transmitting the uncorrupted file to the computer system; and

charging to replace the at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

26. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 16, further comprising the step of receiving at the computer system the information associated with the uncorrupted font file from a font server, wherein said steps of comparing and determining are performed in the computer system.

27. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 26, further comprising the step of displaying a message indicating whether the font file is corrupted, responsive to said step of determining.

28. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 16, further comprising the step of determining whether a preset corruption period has expired and initiating said step of comparing when said preset corruption period has expired.

29. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 28, further comprising the step of resetting the corruption period in response to said step of initiating.

30. (Currently Amended) A method for determining whether a font file is corrupted, said method comprising the steps of:

receiving at a computer system information associated with a portion of an uncorrupted font file from a font server;

comparing in the computer system information associated with a portion of a font file stored in a computer system with the information associated with the portion of the uncorrupted font file;

in response to said step of comparing, determining in the computer system whether the font file stored in the computer system is corrupted; and

responsive to said step of determining, displaying a message indicating whether the font file is corrupted.

31. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 30, wherein the information associated with the portion of the font file and the information associated with the portion of the uncorrupted font file is checksum data.

32. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 30, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of replacing ~~at least a~~ the portion of the font file with the portion of the uncorrupted font file.

33. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 32, wherein said step of replacing further includes the steps of:

transmitting the portion of the uncorrupted font file from a font server to the computer system; and

storing the uncorrupted font file in memory in the computer system.

34. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 30, wherein if the portion of the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of transmitting the portion of the uncorrupted file to the computer system for replacing ~~at least a~~ the portion of the font file.

35. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 34, further comprising the step of charging to replace the ~~at least a~~ portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

36. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 30, where if the font file is corrupted, receiving a request at the computer system asking whether ~~at least a~~ the portion of the font file should be replaced with the portion of the uncorrupted file.

37. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 36, further comprising the steps of:

transmitting a request to the font server for the portion of the uncorrupted font file to replace the ~~at least a~~ portion of the font file;

receiving the portion of the uncorrupted file from the font server; and

paying to replace the ~~at least a~~ portion of the font file with the portion of the uncorrupted file.

38. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim + 30, further comprising the step of charging an account associated with the computer system for performing said method.

39. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 30, further comprising the step of determining whether a preset corruption period has expired and initiating said step of comparing when said preset corruption period has expired.

40. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 39, further comprising the step of resetting the corruption period in response to said step of initiating.

41. (Previously Presented) A method for determining whether a font file is corrupted, said method comprising the steps of:

determining whether a preset corruption period has expired and, when said preset corruption period has expired, comparing information associated with a font file stored in a computer system with information associated with an uncorrupted font file; and

in response to said step of comparing, determining whether the font file stored in the computer system is corrupted.

42. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 41, wherein the information associated with the font file and the information associated with the uncorrupted font file is checksum data.

43. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 41, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of replacing at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted font file.

44. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 43, wherein said step of replacing further includes the steps of:

transmitting the uncorrupted font file from a font server to the computer system; and
storing the uncorrupted font file in memory in the computer system.

45. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 41, further comprising the step of receiving at a font server, the information associated with the font file, wherein said font server performs said step of comparing and determining.

46. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 45, further comprising the step of transmitting a result of said step of determining to the computer system.

47. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 45, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of transmitting the uncorrupted file to the computer system for replacing at least a portion of the font file.

48. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 47, further comprising the step of charging to replace the at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

49. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 45, where if the font file is corrupted, transmitting a request to the computer system asking whether at least a portion of the font file should be replaced with the uncorrupted file.

50. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 49, further comprising the steps of:

receiving a request from the computer system for the uncorrupted font file to replace the at least a portion of the font file;

transmitting the uncorrupted file to the computer system; and

charging to replace the at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

51. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 41, further comprising the step of charging an account associated with the computer system for performing said method.

52. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 41, further comprising the step of receiving at the computer system the information associated with the uncorrupted font file from a font server, wherein said steps of comparing and determining are performed in the computer system.

53. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 42, further comprising the step of displaying a message indicating whether the font file is corrupted, responsive to said step of determining.

54. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 41, further comprising the step of resetting the corruption period in response to said step of initiating.

55. (Previously Presented) A method for determining whether a font file is corrupted, said method comprising the steps of:

comparing a font file stored in a computer system with an uncorrupted font file on a byte-by-byte basis; and

in response to said step of comparing, determining whether the font file stored in the computer system is corrupted.

56. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 51, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of replacing at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted font file.

57. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 56, wherein said step of replacing further includes the steps of:

transmitting the uncorrupted font file from a font server to the computer system; and
storing the uncorrupted font file in memory in the computer system.

58. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 55, further comprising the step of receiving at a font server, the information associated with the font file, wherein said font server performs said step of comparing and determining.

59. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 58, further comprising the step of transmitting a result of said step of determining to the computer system.

60. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 58, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of transmitting the uncorrupted file to the computer system for replacing at least a portion of the font file.

61. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 60, further comprising the step of charging to replace the at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

62. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 58, where if the font file is corrupted, transmitting a request to the computer system asking whether at least a portion of the font file should be replaced with the uncorrupted file.

63. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 62, further comprising the steps of:

receiving a request from the computer system for the uncorrupted font file to replace the at least a portion of the font file;

transmitting the uncorrupted file to the computer system; and

charging to replace the at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

64. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 55, further comprising the step of charging an account associated with the computer system for performing said method.

65. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 55, further comprising the step of receiving at the computer system the information associated with the uncorrupted font file from a font server, wherein said steps of comparing and determining are performed in the computer system.

66. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 65, further comprising the step of displaying a message indicating whether the font file is corrupted, responsive to said step of determining.

67. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 55, further comprising the step of determining whether a preset corruption period has expired and initiating said step of comparing when said preset corruption period has expired.

68. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 67, further comprising the step of resetting the corruption period in response to said step of initiating.

69. (Currently Amended) A method for determining whether a font file is corrupted, said method comprising the steps of:

comparing a checksum of a portion of a font file stored in a computer system with a checksum of a portion of an uncorrupted font file; and

in response to said step of comparing, determining whether the font file stored in the computer system is corrupted.

70. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 69, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of replacing ~~at least a~~ the portion of the font file with the portion of the uncorrupted font file.

71. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 70, wherein said step of replacing further includes the steps of:

transmitting the portion of the uncorrupted font file from a font server to the computer system; and

storing the portion of the uncorrupted font file in memory in the computer system.

72. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 69, further comprising the step of receiving at a font server, the information associated with the portion of the font file, wherein said font server performs said step of comparing and determining.

73. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 72, further comprising the step of transmitting a result of said step of determining to the computer system.

74. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 72, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of transmitting the portion of the uncorrupted file to the computer system for replacing ~~at least a~~ the portion of the font file.

75. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 74, further comprising the step of charging to replace the ~~at least a~~ portion of the font file with the portion of the uncorrupted file.

76. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 72, where if the font file is corrupted, transmitting a request to the computer system asking whether ~~at least a~~ the portion of the font file should be replaced with the portion of the uncorrupted file.

77. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 76, further comprising the steps of:

receiving a request from the computer system for the portion of the uncorrupted font file to replace the ~~at least a~~ portion of the font file;

transmitting the uncorrupted file to the computer system; and

charging to replace the at least a portion of the font file with the uncorrupted file.

78. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 69, further comprising the step of charging an account associated with the computer system for performing said method.

79. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 69, further comprising the step of receiving at the computer system the information associated with the portion of the uncorrupted font file from a font server, wherein said steps of comparing and determining are performed in the computer system.

80. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 79, further comprising the step of displaying a message indicating whether the font file is corrupted, responsive to said step of determining.

81. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 79, further comprising the step of determining whether a preset corruption period has expired and initiating said step of comparing when said preset corruption period has expired.

82. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 81, further comprising the step of resetting the corruption period in response to said step of initiating.